



# BWHS NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENT POLICY 2022-23

<b>GB Committee Responsible:</b>	<b>Curriculum and Achievement</b>
<b>Reviewed by:</b>	F O'Sullivan (AHT)
<b>Review Date:</b>	January 2023
<b>Ratified by Committee:</b>	10 <sup>th</sup> January 2023
<b>Next Review Date:</b>	January 2024

## Contents

Purpose of the policy .....	4
What are non-examination assessments?.....	4
<b>Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>The basic principles.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Task setting.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Issuing of tasks.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Task taking.....</b>	<b>6</b>
Supervision.....	6
Advice and feedback.....	6
Resources.....	6
Word and time limits.....	6
Collaboration and group work.....	6
Authentication procedures.....	7
Presentation of work.....	7
Keeping materials secure.....	7
<b>Task marking – externally assessed components.....</b>	<b>8</b>
Conduct of externally assessed work.....	8
Submission of work.....	8
<b>Task marking – internally assessed components.....</b>	<b>8</b>
Marking and annotation.....	8
Internal standardisation.....	9
Consortium arrangements.....	9
Submission of marks and work for moderation.....	9
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks.....	10
External moderation - feedback.....	10
Access arrangements.....	10
Special consideration.....	11
Malpractice.....	11
Enquiries about results.....	12
<b>Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England.....</b>	<b>13</b>
Forward planning.....	14
<i>Action</i> .....	14
Forward planning.....	15

<i>Action</i> .....	15
Forward planning.....	16
<i>Action</i> .....	16
Forward planning.....	17
<i>Action</i> .....	17
Forward planning.....	18
<i>Action</i> .....	18
Forward planning.....	19
<i>Action</i> .....	19

## What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

*“The regulators’ definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:*

- *set by an awarding body;*
- *designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body;*  
*and*
- *taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment) is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).*

*‘NEA’ therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as ‘NEA’.*”

[Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) – Foreword, page 3]

This publication is further referred to in this policy as [NEA](#)

## Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- *cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments*
- *define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments*
- *manage risks associated with non-examination assessments*

[[NEA](#) – *The basic principles*, page 4]

## What are non-examination assessments?

*“Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.*

*There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:*

- *task setting;*
- *task taking;*
- *task marking.”*

[[NEA](#) – *The basic principles*, page 4]

## Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

### The basic principles

#### Head of centre

- Ensures that the centre’s *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose

- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

### Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments which comply with [NEA](#) and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures [NEA](#) and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

### Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in [NEA](#)
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

### Exams officer

- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

## Task setting

### Subject teacher

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

## Issuing of tasks

### Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates

- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

## Task taking

### Supervision

#### Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#) and [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents *Information for candidates*

### Advice and feedback

#### Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

### Resources

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

### Word and time limits

#### Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

### Collaboration and group work

#### Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates

- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

## Authentication procedures

### Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
  - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
  - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in [NEA](#) and informs the exams officer. They must inform a member of the senior leadership team. (p.11)

## Presentation of work

### Subject teacher

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in [NEA](#) unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

## Keeping materials secure

### Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in [NEA 4.8](#)
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Officer to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

### IT Officer

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

## Secure Storage of Digital Content:

- It is the centre's responsibility to keep the work that candidates have submitted securely.
- Where work is stored electronically centres are required to restrict access to this material and to utilise appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software. An effective

back-up strategy **must** be employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained.  
(IT Officer and Exams Officer)

- Centres should consider encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it. Centres **must** refer to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable. (IT Officer and Exams Officer)

## Task marking – externally assessed components

### Conduct of externally assessed work

#### Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

#### Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting examinations*

### Submission of work

#### Subject teacher

- Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

#### Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline
- Ensure that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened.

## Task marking – internally assessed components

### Marking and annotation

#### Subject teacher

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body



## Internal standardisation

### Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

### Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

## Consortium arrangements

### Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- Liaises with the exams officer to ensure form JCQ/CCA is submitted to the awarding body for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

### Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline

### Exams officer

- Arranges completion of form JCQ/CCA [Guidance for Centre Consortium Arrangements](#)
- Submits form JCQ/CCA to the deadline for each exam series affected
- Submits marks to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant, liaises with other consortium exams officers to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline
- Where relevant (as the consortium lead), retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Inform the relevant awarding body that your centre is part of a consortium by submitting on-line, using the Centre Admin Portal (CAP), Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work

## Submission of marks and work for moderation

### Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

### Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Submit supporting documentation required by the awarding body.
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
  - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
  - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
  - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

### **Storage and retention of work after submission of marks**

#### **Subject teacher**

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

#### **Exams officer**

- Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

### **External moderation - feedback**

#### **Subject head/lead**

- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

#### **Exams officer**

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

### **Access arrangements**

#### **Subject teacher**

- Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

#### **Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)**

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication [\*Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments\*](#)
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

## Special consideration

### Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
  - is absent
  - produces a reduced quantity of work
  - work has been lost
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

### Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

## Malpractice

### Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to report to the relevant awarding body any suspected cases of malpractice involving candidates
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures](#)
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

### Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work](#)
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - non-examination assessments](#)
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document [Information for candidates - Social Media](#)

### Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication [Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures](#) to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ [Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work](#) to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

## Enquiries about results

### Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

### Subject head/lead

- Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

### Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

### Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication [Post Results Services, Information and guidance for centres](#)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

## Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

### Head of centre

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities

### Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the *Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England*
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

### Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass or Not Classified* assessment outcome

#### **Exams officer**

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates *Pass or Not Classified* assessment

## **Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England**

#### **Head of centre**

- Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

#### **Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier**

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

#### **Subject head/lead**

- Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England*
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

#### **Subject teacher**

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

#### **Exams officer**

- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Timetabling</b>			
Assessment schedule clashes with other activities	Plan/establish priorities well ahead (e.g. start of academic year) for all subjects or lines of learning	Plan dates in consultation with school calendar – negotiate with other parties	SLG/HOD
Too many assessments close together across subjects or lines of learning	Plan assessments so they are spaced over the duration of the course	Space assessments to at least allow candidates some time between assessments	SLG/HOD
<b>Accommodation</b>			
Insufficient space in classrooms for candidates	Once group sizes are known at the start of the year, flag instances where regular classroom space may not be suitable to conduct controlled assessment	Use more than one classroom or multiple sittings where necessary	HOD/Teaching Staff
Insufficient facilities for all candidates	Careful planning ahead and booking of rooms / centre facilities		HOD

## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Downloading awarding body set tasks</b>			
IT system unavailable on day of assessment	Download tasks well ahead of scheduled assessment date in all cases	Book IT equipment well ahead and download tasks before scheduled date of assessment	HOD
Teaching staff/assessors unable to access task details	Test secure access rights ahead of schedule every year and every session	Ensure teaching staff/assessors have access rights for correct area of awarding body secure extranet sites ahead of time	HOD
Loss of task details in transmission	Download tasks well ahead of scheduled assessment date	Report loss to awarding body for replacement; download again	HOD
<b>Absent candidates</b>			
Candidates absent for all or part of assessment (various reasons)	Plan alternative session(s) for candidates		HOD/Teaching Staff
Candidates have a scheduling clash for exams or assessment (possibly offsite on consortium teaching)	Always consider candidate timetables well ahead and decide on priorities in advance to scheduling clashes	Check before booking the date; provide an alternative date, where necessary and consult awarding body procedures for dealing with timetabling clashes  N.B. retakes of controlled assessment are limited	HOD/Teaching Staff

## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Control levels for task taking</b>			
Assessment is undertaken under incorrect level of control (time, resources, supervision and collaboration)	Ensure teaching staff/assessors know what level is applicable and understand what is involved. Provide training if required	Seek guidance from the awarding body	HOD
<b>Supervision</b>			
Student study diary/plan not provided or completed*	Ensure teaching staff/assessors are aware of the need for study diary/plans to be completed early in course	Ensure candidates start, continue and complete study diary/plans that are signed after every session	HOD/Teaching Staff
Teaching staff/assessors do not understand supervision of controlled assessment is their responsibility	Ensure teaching staff/assessors understand nature of controlled assessments and their role in supervision		HOD
Suitable supervisor has not been arranged for an assessment where teaching staff/assessors are <b>not</b> supervising	A suitable supervisor must be arranged for any controlled assessment where a teacher/assessor is not supervising, in line with the awarding body specification.		SLG/HOD

\* Not all controlled assessment whether for a Diploma or GCSEs will require the completion of a study diary or study plans



## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANGEMENT POLICY			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Task setting</b>			
Teaching staff/assessors fail to correctly set tasks	Ensure teaching staff/assessors understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body specification**	Seek guidance from the awarding body	HOD
Assessments have not been moderated as required in the awarding body specification	Check specification and plan required moderation appropriately	Seek guidance from the awarding body	HOD
<b>Security of materials</b>			
Assessment tasks not kept secure before assessment	Ensure teaching staff understand importance of task security	Request/obtain different assessment tasks	HOD
Candidates' work not kept secure during or after assessment	Define appropriate level of security, in line with awarding body requirements, for each department as necessary	Take materials to secure storage	HOD
Insufficient or insecure storage space	Look at provision for suitable storage early in the course	Find alternative spaces	HOD

\*\* All tasks whether set by the awarding body or the centre must be developed in line with the requirements of the specification.

## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Deadlines</b>			
Deadlines not met by candidates	Ensure all candidates are briefed on deadlines/penalties for not meeting them	Mark what candidates have produced by deadline and seek guidance from awarding body on further action.	HOD
Deadlines for marking and/or paperwork not met by teaching staff/ assessors	Ensure teaching staff/assessors are given clear deadlines (prior to awarding body ones) to complete marking/paperwork so the exams office can process and send off marks ahead of AB deadlines	Seek guidance from awarding body	SLG/HOD
<b>Authentication</b>			
Candidate fails to sign authentication form	Ensure all candidates have authentication forms to sign and attach to work when it is completed before handing in	Find candidate and ensure form is signed	HOD
Teaching staff/assessors fail to complete authentication forms or leave before completing authentication	Ensure teaching staff/assessors understand importance of authentication forms and the requirement of a signature	Return form to staff for signature. Ensure forms are signed as work is marked, not at end of season	HOD

## RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

GCSE CONTROLLED ASSESSMENT POLICY			
RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS			
Example risks and issues	Possible remedial action		Staff
	Forward planning	Action	
<b>Marking</b>			
Teaching staff/assessors interpret marking descriptions incorrectly	Ensure appropriate training and practicing of marking. Plan for sampling of marking during the practice phase.	Arrange for remarking. Consult awarding body specification for appropriate procedure	HOD
Centre does not run standardisation activity as required by the awarding body	Plan against the requirements for standardisation for the awarding body when and how this activity will be conducted.	Check with the awarding body whether a later standardisation event can be arranged.	HOD